

**MEMO ENDORSED**

**MICHAEL A. CARDOZO**  
Corporation Counsel

**THE CITY OF NEW YORK**  
**LAW DEPARTMENT**  
100 CHURCH STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10007

**RAJU SUNDARAN**  
Assistant Corporation Counsel  
Telephone: 212-788-0467  
Facsimile: 212-788-9776  
rsundara@law.nyc.gov

**TIME SENSITIVE APPLICATION**

October 19, 2007

**BY FACSIMILE (212) 805-7930**

The Honorable James C. Francis IV  
United States Magistrate Judge  
United States District Courthouse  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street, Room 1960  
New York, New York 10007

**Re: RNC Consolidated Cases**

Dear Judge Francis:

04 CIV 7922 (RJS) (JCF)  
LEAD CASE -  
DOCKET IN ALL RNC  
CASES.

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 10/22/07

On Tuesday, October 23, 2007, defendants will file their consolidated brief in opposition to plaintiffs' motions and memorandums of law in support for leave to amend their complaints. Defendants write to Your Honor to request permission to file a 50 page memorandum of law in opposition.

Between August 24, 2007 and August 31, 2007, defendants were served with approximately 12 separate motions to amend, together with approximately 9 separate memorandums of law and 37 proposed amended complaints. In the interest of judicial economy, defendants have consolidated the approximately 12 separate plaintiffs' motions to amend into one omnibus opposition motion. Your Honor's individual practice limits each memorandum of law in support of or in opposition to up to 25 pages. As defendants' memorandum of law in opposition will address the various issues raised in plaintiffs' motions and memorandums, defendants would have been entitled to a far larger page limitation.

Defendants, therefore, respectfully submit that a 50 page memoranda of law is both fair and warranted. Accordingly, defendants respectfully request permission to file a 50 page memorandum of law in opposition. //

Respectfully submitted,



Raju Sundaran (RS 8011)

cc: RNC Distribution List (By Email)

10/22/07

Application granted upon the representation that the proposed motions present varying issues and arguments.

SO ORDERED.

James C. Francis IV  
USMJ